Resource

A High-Resolution *C. elegans* Essential Gene Network Based on Phenotypic Profiling of a Complex Tissue

Rebecca A. Green,¹ Huey-Ling Kao,^{3,10} Anjon Audhya,^{2,10} Swathi Arur,⁴ Jonathan R. Mayers,² Heidi N. Fridolfsson,⁵ Monty Schulman,³ Siegfried Schloissnig,⁷ Sherry Niessen,⁸ Kimberley Laband,¹ Shaohe Wang,¹ Daniel A. Starr,⁵ Anthony A. Hyman,⁷ Tim Schedl,⁶ Arshad Desai,^{1,11} Fabio Piano,^{3,9,11} Kristin C. Gunsalus,^{3,9,*} and Karen Oegema^{1,*} ¹Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research and Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of California, San Diego, CMM-East 3053, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

²Department of Biomolecular Chemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison Medical School, 1300 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706, USA ³Center for Genomics and Systems Biology, Department of Biology, New York University, 12 Waverly Place, 8th Floor, New York, NY 10003, USA ⁴Department of Genetics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Unit 1010, Houston, TX 77030, USA ⁵Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, College of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA

⁶Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, Missouri, 63110 Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA ⁷Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Pfotenhauerstrasse 108, 01307 Dresden, Germany

⁸The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology and Department of Chemical Physiology, The Center for Physiological Proteomics, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

⁹New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

¹⁰These authors contributed equally to this work

¹¹These authors contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence: kcg1@nyu.edu (K.C.G.), koegema@ucsd.edu (K.O.) DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2011.03.037

SUMMARY

High-content screening for gene profiling has generally been limited to single cells. Here, we explore an alternative approach-profiling gene function by analyzing effects of gene knockdowns on the architecture of a complex tissue in a multicellular organism. We profile 554 essential C. elegans genes by imaging gonad architecture and scoring 94 phenotypic features. To generate a reference for evaluating methods for network construction, genes were manually partitioned into 102 phenotypic classes, predicting functions for uncharacterized genes across diverse cellular processes. Using this classification as a benchmark, we developed a robust computational method for constructing gene networks from high-content profiles based on a network contextdependent measure that ranks the significance of links between genes. Our analysis reveals that multi-parametric profiling in a complex tissue yields functional maps with a resolution similar to genetic interactionbased profiling in unicellular eukaryotes-pinpointing subunits of macromolecular complexes and components functioning in common cellular processes.

INTRODUCTION

A major challenge of the postgenomic era is to translate the parts lists generated by genome sequencing into maps of the pathways that execute cellular processes. Approaches to do this combine systematic gene inhibition with functional tests that span a continuum—from single readout assays to complex assays that interrogate a broad spectrum of cellular processes. Whereas single readout assays identify pathways that impact a specific process (Mathey-Prevot and Perrimon, 2006), complex assays can be used to construct functional networks from collections of genes with diverse cellular roles. Two approaches have emerged for distilling complex phenotypes for phenotypic profiling: genetic interaction profiling and high-content screening. Although the methodologies are distinct, both strategies translate the consequences of inhibiting gene activity into phenotypic profiles that can be compared to generate a map of the functional relationships between genes (Boone et al., 2007; Collins et al., 2009; Conrad and Gerlich, 2010; Piano et al., 2002; Sönnichsen et al., 2005).

Genetic interaction profiling was pioneered in budding yeast, using a comprehensive deletion library of non-essential genes and collections of hypomorphic alleles of essential genes (Boone et al., 2007; Collins et al., 2009). Genetic interaction profiling captures the consequences of inhibiting a gene by measuring the effect on growth rate of pairwise inhibitions with each of the other genes in the collection. This analysis generates quantitative interaction profiles for each gene that can be clustered to reveal functionally significant relationships. A genome-scale genetic interaction map was recently constructed for S. cerevisiae (Costanzo et al., 2010), and maps have also been generated for subsets of gene implicated in specific processes-such as RNA processing, chromosome biology, proteasome function, and the secretory pathway (Breslow et al., 2008; Collins et al., 2007; Schuldiner et al., 2005; Wilmes et al., 2008).

In metazoans, genetic interaction profiling is difficult to implement because comprehensive libraries of deletion/hypomorphic strains do not exist and developing reproducible high-throughput methods to quantify fitness is a formidable barrier (Gunsalus, 2008). Consequently, high-content screening is the primary method for mapping functional gene networks in animal cells. In a high-content screen, light microscopy is used to assess phenotypes arising from gene inhibition by RNA-mediated interference, and the phenotype is captured by scoring a large parameter set (Conrad and Gerlich, 2010). The depth of the phenotypic profile is based on the biological complexity of the assay and the nature and accuracy of parameter scoring. To date, the requirement for high-resolution imaging has generally limited high-content screening to single cells or early embryos.

C. elegans is a prototype metazoan system for the functional mapping of essential genes (Piano et al., 2006). C. elegans has ~20,000 genes, of which ~2,500 are essential for embryo production or viability (WormBase release WS210; Harris et al., 2010). In a set of pioneering high-content screens, time-lapse Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) microscopy was used to film the early divisions of embryos following individual inhibitions of specific subsets of C. elegans genes (Gönczy et al., 2000; Piano et al., 2000; Zipperlen et al., 2001). This was extended to a full-genome screen that generated high-content phenotypic profiles for ~500 essential genes (Sönnichsen et al., 2005). These profiles were combined with protein-protein interaction and expression profiling data to create a first-generation integrative map that linked 305 essential C. elegans genes in a "multiple support" network that grouped genes into modules involved in specific processes including spindle assembly, chromosome segregation, nuclear envelope dynamics, cortical dynamics, and centrosome function (Gunsalus et al., 2005). Despite the success of these studies, a large collection of essential genes could not be profiled because their inhibition results in sterility of the treated worm. Thus, the 554 genes in the "sterile" collection, which control fundamental cellular processes such as membrane trafficking, translation, proteasome function, and cortical remodeling, were largely absent from this analysis.

To fill this gap in the analysis of the C. elegans essential gene set, we profiled the 554 sterile genes by imaging syncytial gonad architecture at high-resolution following gene knockdown and scoring 94 phenotypic parameters. To generate a reference for evaluating computational methods for network construction, genes were manually partitioned into 102 phenotypic classes, predicting functions for 106 of the 116 uncharacterized genes in the collection. Using the manual classification as a benchmark, we developed a robust computational method for constructing gene networks from high-content profiles based on a network context-dependent measure that ranks the significance of functional links between genes. This method allowed us to integrate our data with that from the prior high-content embryo-filming dataset to generate a network representation of 818 essential C. elegans genes that can be viewed at multiple levels of functional resolution.

RESULTS

Phenotypic Profiling Based on The Morphology of a Complex Tissue: The *C. elegans* Gonad

Around 900 C. elegans genes are required for embryo production and/or for the early embryonic cell divisions (Sönnichsen et al., 2005); this collection includes the majority of genes essential for basic processes common to all cells. Because their inhibition leads to sterility, 554 of these genes could not be profiled by embryo filming. Of the 554 sterile genes, 166 were unnamed, indicating no prior characterization (Figure 1A). For each unnamed gene, we determined whether the predicted product is a member of a KOG (eukaryotic orthologous group; Tatusov et al., 2003) and used the Ensembl database to determine if it has orthologs across species. Of the 166 unnamed genes, 50 had characterized orthologs that predicted a function for the C. elegans protein (Unnamed-Group I in Table S2). The remaining 116 were either members of KOGs of unknown function, had no predicted orthologs, or had multiple C. elegans paralogs (Unnamed-Group II in Table S2); we refer to these 116 genes as "uncharacterized" (Figure 1A).

To profile the 554 sterile genes, we developed a high-content assay based on 3D two-color fluorescence confocal imaging of the gonad, a complex tissue in the adult C. elegans hermaphrodite (Figure 1B). The syncytial gonad contains ~1000 meiotic nuclei in cup-shaped compartments open to a common cytoplasmic core. Compartments mature into oocytes as they progress from the distal tip to the proximal region of the gonad adjacent to the spermatheca. Gonad maturation and maintenance involves a broad spectrum of basic cellular processes (Figure 1C), making this tissue an attractive substrate for highcontent profiling. Gonad architecture was analyzed in a strain co-expressing fluorescent markers that target to the plasma membrane (GFP fusion that binds PI4,5P₂) and chromosomes (mCherry-histone H2B). Hermaphrodites were soaked in dsRNA against a target gene for 24 hr beginning at the late L4 larval stage. when the gonad has almost achieved its full complement of nuclei (Kimble and Crittenden, 2005). After 48 hr recovery, gonad architecture was assessed in triplicate by anesthetizing worms and imaging one gonad per worm.

Binary phenotypic profiles were generated by scoring the set of 3 image stacks per target gene for 94 possible defects. The movie set for each gene was inspected for each of the 94 defects (Figure 1D; for a complete list with examples see Table S1), assigning a "0" when the defect was absent and a "1" when the defect was present in at least 2 of the 3 movies. All image stacks were analyzed by the same pair of individuals, who viewed and scored them together; image stacks were indexed by RNA number, making their analysis blind to gene identity. In the 24 cases where the three movies were not consistent, the experiment was repeated (see Figure S1 available online, Extended Experimental Procedures, and Table S6 for details on screen design and scoring methods).

Generation of a Benchmark for Constructing Gene Networks Based on Gonad Architecture Phenotypes

Initial attempts using the raw parameter dataset for automated clustering broadly grouped genes, but failed to partition them



Figure 1. Phenotypic Profiling Using a High-Content Assay Based on the Architecture of a Complex Tissue

(A) Breakdown of the 554 genes in the sterile collection.

(B) Screen flow chart.

(C) The *C. elegans* gonad is a complex dynamic tissue whose architecture depends on a broad spectrum of interacting cellular processes (blue text on the schematic). Spinning disc confocal microscopy was used to collect an 80 plane two-color z-series of each gonad. The imaged region is indicated (red dashed box) and a sample central z-section from a control gonad is shown.

(D) Six sample defects are illustrated by pairing the numbered boxed regions from the control gonad in (C) with the corresponding regions from gonads with the indicated defects.

(E) Central plane images from two gene knockdowns in three phenotypic classes. Knockdowns in Class B2 (left column), which contains chaperonin complex subunits, led to rounded compartments and nuclei that fell out of their compartments (yellow arrowheads). Knockdowns in Class F3 (middle column), which contains genes implicated in Rho GTPase signaling, led to "tubulated" gonads with clustered nuclei. Knockdowns in Class C2 (right column), which contains cell cycle regulators, led to gonads with few compartments/ oocytes. Bars represent 10 μm. See also Figure S1, Table S1, and Table S2.

functions. Prior to using the manually defined classes as a benchmark to optimize computational methods for network analysis, we validated the functional predictions arising from our classification by following up on 8 uncharacterized genes in 5 classes.

The first class (E2) predicted a role for T09E8.1 in the microtubule cytoskeleton

at a resolution similar to what could be achieved through blinded manual classification by an experienced investigator. To develop a better computational method, we began by manually partitioning the genes into classes to generate a reference that we could use to evaluate computational methods for network construction. Manual partitioning placed the 554 sterile genes into 102 phenotypic classes (Table S2 contains a description, sample image, and gene list for each class). For organizational purposes, the 102 classes were grouped into 29 broad categories (labeled A-Z, AA, AB, and AC) that each contain classes sharing one or more prominent defects. Movies and class designations can be accessed via the Phenobank website (http://worm.mpi-cbg. de/phenobank_gonad).

The manually defined phenotypic classes contained characterized genes with common annotated molecular functions (Figure 1E), indicating that similar gonad architecture phenotypes reflect similar molecular functions. Manual partitioning placed 106 of the 116 uncharacterized genes into phenotypic classes containing characterized genes, leading to predictions for their

(Figure 2A). Unlike other class E2 genes, partial T09E8.1 inhibition did not lead to defects in the early embryonic cell divisions (not shown). In dividing cells, the microtubule cytoskeleton is organized by centrosomes, whereas the gonad is dominated by non-centrosomal microtubule arrays (Zhou et al., 2009). Thus, we hypothesized that T09E8.1 is specifically required for noncentrosomal microtubule array formation. Hypodermal cells, born late in embryogenesis, also have non-centrosomal microtubule arrays that function in nuclear positioning (Fridolfsson and Starr, 2010). Partial T09E8.1 inhibition in the hypodermis led to defects in nuclear positioning (Figure 2B), as well as a ~3-fold reduction in the number and an increase in the length of the GFP-EBP-1 "comets" that mark growing microtubule ends (Figure 2C). We conclude that T09E8.1, which we name noca-1 (for non-centrosomal array), plays an important role in the organization of the noncentrosomal microtubule arrays in the gonad and hypodermis.

The second class (G1) predicted a role for F54D12.5 and DAF-21, an hsp90-family chaperone, in the MAPK signaling pathway



Figure 2. Validation of Manual Classification I

MAP Kinase

Uncharacterized

(A) List of the genes in Class E2. Schematic and central plane images illustrate the class phenotype.

mek-2(RNAi)

mpk-1(RNAi)

D12 5(RNA)

F

(B) Nuclei are in the dorsal cords of *T09E8.1(RNAi)* (yellow arrowheads), but not control, L1 worms (insets 2.4×), reflecting a hypodermal cell nuclear migration defect. Table shows quantification of the nuclear migration defect. The effects of simultaneous inhibition of the dynein-regulatory proteins BICD-1 and NUD-2 quantified from the dataset in Fridolfsson et al. (2010) are shown for comparison.

rtial daf-21(RNAi); mpk-1(ga111

partial gfp(RNAi) partial F54D12.5(RNAi)

partial gfp(RNAi); mpk-1(ga111ts)

partial F54D12.5(RNAi); mpk-1(ga111ts)

partial daf-21(RNAi); mpk-1(ga111ts)

partial daf-21(RNAi)

% Germlines with Phenotype

Disorganized Disorganized

Oocytes

0

0

8

2

28

28

Pachytene

0

0

8

25

22

Phospho

++++

++++

++

++

++

+/-

dpMPK-1

(C) The effect of T09E8.1 knockdown on microtubule arrays in the hypodermis (schematic) was monitored by timelapse imaging of an EB1-GFP fusion (Fridolfsson and Starr, 2010). Bar graphs show the mean length (left) and number (right) of EB1-GFP comets. Error bars represent the SE.

(D) Class G1 contains three characterized genes implicated in MAPK signaling (gray), 1 characterized gene not previously implicated in MAPK signaling (orange), and 1 uncharacterized gene (purple). Schematic and central plane images illustrate the class phenotype.

(E) Partial RNAi of *daf-21*, or GFP as a control, was performed in the presence or absence of a weak *mpk-1* loss-of-function mutation (*ga111*). Gonads were fixed and stained for chromosomes (DAPI, green), the plasma membrane (SYN-4 and PTC-1, red), and activated MPK-1 (Phospho-MPK-1, right panels). All analysis was performed in the *rrf-1(pk1417)* background in which RNAi is effective in the gonad, but not in the surrounding somatic cells.

(F) Quantification of phenotypes resulting from partial RNAi of *daf-21* or F54D12.5 in the *mpk-1(ga111)* background (see Table S3). *Percentages do not include the 21% of germlines that showed a severe MPK-1 "null" phenotype.

(G) Schematic places DAF-21 and F54D12.5 in the MAPK signaling pathway.

Error bars are the SE. Bars, 10 $\mu m.$ See also Figure S2, Table S2 and Table S3.

(Figure 2D). We tested these predictions using a genetic approach. Worms homozygous for the reduction-of-function allele mpk-1(ga111) exhibit normal gonad morphology, even though phosphorylated MPK-1 levels, a readout for pathway activity, are reduced compared to controls (Figures 2E and 2F; Lee et al., 2007). Partial knockdown of daf-21 or F54D12.5, under conditions that did not result in a morphological phenotype in control worms, led to a strong MAPK knockdown phenotype in the mpk-1(ga111) background (Figures 2E and 2F; Figure S2, Table S3). RNAi of daf-21 reduced phosphorylated MPK-1 levels in control and let-60 gain-of-function worms (Figures 2E and 2F; Table S3), indicating that DAF-21 acts at the level of or downstream of LET-60/Ras in the MAPK pathway (Figure 2G). F54D12.5 RNAi did not reduce phosphorylated MPK-1 levels, and F54D12.5 contains 2 potential MPK-1 docking sites suggesting that it is an MPK-1 substrate (Figure 2G). We conclude that DAF-21 and F54D12.5 function at different points in the MAPK signaling pathway and name F54D12.5, eom-1, for enhancer of mpk-1(ga111).

The third class (S2) predicted roles for three uncharacterized proteins in the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C; Figure 3A). Immuno-affinity purification of one uncharacterized gene product, K10D2.4, from *C. elegans* extracts followed by mass spectrometry recovered seven APC components (Figure 3B). The product of another uncharacterized Class S2 gene, C09H10.7, was also recovered—indicating that both K10D2.4 and C09H10.7 are APC/C subunits. K10D2.4 was recently identified as a metazoan-specific component of the APC/C (Hubner et al., 2010; Hutchins et al., 2010; Kops et al., 2010) and the gene was named *emb-1/apc-16*. We name C09H10.7, *apc-17*.

The fourth class (F2) predicted a role for the BTB-domain containing protein C08C3.4 in cortical remodeling/cytokinesis (Figure 3C). A GFP fusion with C08C3.4 localized to the contractile ring at the tip of the cleavage furrow in dividing embryos (Figure 3D), and embryos partially depleted of C08C3.4 exhibited cytokinesis defects (Figure 3E). We conclude that C08C3.4 is required for cortical remodeling in the gonad and cytokinesis in embryos and name the gene *cyk-7*.

The fifth class (I2) phenotype included debris labeled with the plasma membrane probe, suggesting a role in membrane trafficking (Figure 3F). We tested for a trafficking function by imaging compartment boundaries in a strain co-expressing a mCherry labeled plasma membrane probe and a GFP fusion with SNB-1, a SNARE trafficked through the endomembrane system and delivered to the plasma membrane (Figure 3G). Compartment boundaries in control worms have SNB-1-GFP and the plasma membrane probe and are yellow. Trafficking defects prevent SNB-1-GFP from reaching the plasma membrane, leading to red compartment boundaries. 8 of the 15 Class I2 genes, including F27C8.6 and T01B7.6, exhibited defects in the SNB-1 assay (Figure 3G). We name F27C8.6 and T01B7.6 *trcs-1* and *trcs-2*, respectively, for (*transport* to the cell surface).

The follow up work on these five classes demonstrates that gonad architecture has sufficient resolution to functionally classify genes across a broad spectrum of essential cellular functions. It also validates the manual classification, establishing it as a benchmark for evaluating computational methods for network construction.

Development of a Network Context-Dependent Method to Evaluate the Significance of Functional Links Between Genes Based on High-Content Phenotypic Profiles

Our initial efforts using automated clustering were unable to partition genes at a resolution comparable to what could be achieved by an experienced investigator. To circumvent this limitation, we used the manually-defined classes as a tool to develop a robust computational method for constructing gene networks based on high-content parameter profiles. We first compared the phenotypic profiles by calculating the Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (PCC) for each pair of genes. The resulting network was visualized using N-Browse, an interactive Javabased tool (Kao and Gunsalus, 2008), to display connections between genes whose profiles were correlated with a PCC greater than or equal to a specified threshold (Figures 4A and 4B; dark blue lines connecting gray gene nodes). To assess the effectiveness of this approach, we circled gene clusters that corresponded to our manually-defined classes. This approach revealed that the optimal PCC threshold for viewing functionally relevant connections (red outlined boxes in Figure 4B) varied substantially between different network neighborhoods. This variability was due to the varying nature of the profiled phenotypes and the extent to which they are captured by the parameter set, the extent to which scored parameters are related versus independent, and the fact that profiles with more features often exhibit more variance. Viewing the entire network at a single PCC threshold is not possible because for some regions the threshold is too low and the view is cluttered with non-specific connections (Figure 4B, images above the red boxed images), and for other regions the threshold is too high, yielding an empty network in which many meaningful connections are absent (Figure 4B, images below the red boxed images).

To circumvent the limitations of PCC-based analysis, we developed a measure that ranks the significance of functional links between genes based on network context. For each pair of genes A and B, we assigned a Connection Specificity Index (CSI) by: (1) calculating the PCC for the connections between A and B and each of the other genes in the dataset, (2) counting the number of genes connected to A or B with PCC \geq PCC_{AB} -0.05 (i.e., at a level comparable to or better than the correlation between A and B, correlations with a PCC up to 0.05 less than PCCAB were considered similar-this offset was determined empirically); (3) dividing this number by the total number of genes in the screen (554); and (4) subtracting the result from 1.0 (Figure 4C). The CSI is equivalent to the fraction of genes in the dataset whose profiles are less similar to those of A and B than the profile of A is to the profile of B. For example, a CSI of 0.97 means that the similarity between A and B is highly specific: only ~3% of gene knockdowns have profiles with comparable or higher similarity to either A or B. Since the CSI scales uniformly with functional significance across the entire network, connections of a similar level of significance can simultaneously be displayed at a single CSI threshold (Figure 4D).

We evaluated the performance of CSI and PCC threshold networks by comparing the ability of an automated clustering algorithm (MINE, Module Identification in NEtworks;



Figure 3. Validation of Manual Classification II

(A) List of the genes in Class S2. Schematic and central plane images of gonads (left) and adjacent embryos (right) illustrate the class phenotype.

(B) List of relevant proteins identified by mass spectrometry in an immuno-affinity purification of K10D2.4 from worm extracts, along with percent coverage. Proteins encoded by the uncharacterized Class S2 genes (pink) are listed, along with APC components in Class S2 (gray) and additional APC components not in the screen (*black*). (C) List of the genes in Class F2. Schematic and central plane images illustrate the class phenotype.

(D) Embryo co-expressing GFP-C08C3.4 (green) and an mCherry tagged plasma membrane probe (red) during the first cell division.

(E) Central plane images of control and C08C3.4(RNAi) embryos expressing mCherry-histone H2B (green) and a GFP plasma membrane probe (red). Multiple nuclei in each cell in the C08C3.4(RNAi) embryo are due to cytokinesis failure.

(F) Schematic and central plane images illustrate the Class I2 phenotype, which includes punctate debris containing the plasma membrane probe (arrow in schematic).

(G) Schematic of the trafficking assay. List of Class I2 genes with defects in the SNB-1-GFP trafficking assay. Images of the assay for 1 characterized and 2 uncharacterized Class I2 genes. Bars represent 10 μm in (A)–(F) and 5 μm in (G). See also Table S2.



Figure 4. Constructing Gene Networks Using the Connection Specificity Index Instead of the PCC Reduces Connection Noise and Allows Connections of Similar Functional Significance to Be Viewed Across the Entire Network at a Uniform Threshold (A) Flowchart of the steps used to construct the gene networks in (B).

(B) Gene networks were constructed by displaying connections (dark blue lines) between genes whose knockdown profiles were correlated with a PCC ≥ three specified thresholds (0.46, top; 0.60, middle; 0.70, bottom). Each column shows a network region, labeled based on the primary function of the genes in that

region. To compare the computational network to the manually-defined phenotypic classes, gene groups from manually-assigned classes were circled and labeled. The optimal PCC threshold at which significant connections were displayed and non-specific connections were filtered out (red boxes) was different for different network regions.

(C) Method used to calculate the CSI.

(D) Gene network showing the same regions in (B) constructed by displaying connections (light blue lines) with a CSI ≥ 0.97.

(E) Bar graph showing the number of connections in gene networks constructed using PCC or CSI thresholds of 0.55 or 0.96, respectively. These thresholds were chosen because they are the highest thresholds that retain most of the genes in the network; genes drop out of the network when they no longer make any connections with a PCC/CSI that exceeds the specified threshold.

(F) Bar graph showing the percent of the 49 manually-defined phenotypic classes containing 4 or more genes identified by an automated clustering algorithm (MINE) in networks constructed using PCC or CSI thresholds of 0.55 or 0.96, respectively.

(G) Heatmap dendograms of the sterile gene set constructed based on the PCC or the CSI. See also Figure S3 and Table S4.

Rhrissorrakrai and Gunsalus, in press) to identify gene clusters corresponding to our manually-defined classes. This analysis revealed that over the useful range of the two parameters, networks generated using CSI thresholds have ~3-fold fewer connections than comparable PCC networks; this noise reduction translates into a substantial improvement in the ability of an automated algorithm to identify functionally relevant gene clusters (Figures 4E and 4F; Figure S3). Approximately half of the manually-defined classes containing 4 or more genes could be identified by automated clustering in a network constructed using the single CSI threshold of 0.96, whereas only 14% could be identified in a comparable PCC network (Figure 4F). When MINE was allowed to search networks spanning a range of CSI thresholds (0.90 to 0.99), clusters corresponding to \sim 90% of the manually-defined classes could be identified, compared to 65% for networks spanning a range of PCC thresholds (0.5-1). Heat map dendograms also revealed more sharply defined clusters when using the CSI, indicating that the CSI increases network clarity (Figure 4G; Table S4).

We conclude that constructing networks using CSI thresholds circumvents the variability in the significance of a strict correlation measure in different network regions that arises due to the complexity of high-content screening parameters. Using the CSI instead of the PCC reduces connection noise and allows connections of a similar functional significance to be viewed across the entire network at a single threshold.

Varying the CSI Threshold Reveals Functional Modularity in the Gene Network at Different Levels of Resolution

The CSI-based network representation allows exploration of functional modularity at different levels of resolution. This point is illustrated by the region of the gene network involved in protein production (Figure 5A): a relatively low threshold CSI of 0.90 connects the entire set of genes involved in protein translation, mRNA splicing, and protein folding in a dense meshwork. An intermediate CSI threshold of 0.93 results in a sparser network of more specific connections that defines smaller gene groups. At a high CSI threshold of 0.97, the chaperonins, tRNA synthetases, translation initiation/elongation factors, small ribosome subunits, large ribosome subunits, and splicing factors are resolved into separate clusters. Thus, dialing the CSI up or down reveals functional relationships at different levels of resolution. The automated clusters identified by MINE at three different CSI thresholds are provided in the supplement (Table S5; note that genes can be in multiple clusters).

We assessed the resolution limit of the gonad architecture assay using the region of the network representing genes involved in protein degradation (Figure 5B, Figure S4). Above the very high CSI threshold of 0.99, the connections that remained linked genes within specific proteasome subcomplexes (the Lid, the core β -ring, the core α -ring or the 19S ATPase base; Figure 5B). We conclude that phenotypic profiling based on complex tissue architecture, coupled with automated construction of CSI-based networks from parameter profiles, is capable of correctly assigning very fine distinctions in protein function.

CSI-Enabled Integration of High-Content Data Sets Generates a Global View of the *C. elegans* Essential Gene Network

Together, the gonad architecture (554 genes) and time-lapse embryo filming (661 genes) screens provide high-content profiles for 885 essential genes (330 were profiled in both screens). The CSI is ideally suited for integrating these datasets because it filters out low specificity phenotypic links, leading to a network that combines only the significant relationships identified by the two screens. We binarized the phenotypic signatures in the embryo timelapse screen, which were based on scoring for 45 possible defects (Sönnichsen et al., 2005), calculated a CSI for each gene pair, and simultaneously displayed both data sets in N-Browse to create an integrated network. The merged network, or network regions centered on genes of interest, can be viewed at any CSI threshold in N-Browse (instructions and a demo video describing how to use N-Browse can be accessed at http://worm.mpi-cbg. de/phenobank_gonad/nbrowse). At a CSI threshold of 0.96, the integrated network has 3382 high significance connections linking 818 genes (Figures 6A-6C). The combined view provides functional information for \sim 90% of the genes required for embryo production or the first two embryonic divisions. Despite the presence of 330 genes in common, the relationships identified by the two datasets were highly orthogonal-only 23 of the total 3382 phenotypic links are shared (Figure 6A). This lack of overlap is explained by the majority of the 330 common genes failing to make high-significance connections in the embryo time-lapse screen due to sterility onset (Figure S5). Overall, the CSI-based network integrates information acquired in the biologically distinct contexts of the gonad and embryo to provide a multi-layered view of the function of 818 genes required for essential cellular processes in a multicellular organism.

DISCUSSION

High-content screening is the primary method for mapping functional gene networks in animal cells (Collins et al., 2009; Conrad and Gerlich, 2010; Gunsalus, 2008). A number of high-content screens have classified genes based on cell morphology following gene knockdown (examples include Bakal et al., 2007; Echard et al., 2004; Eggert et al., 2004; Goshima et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2009; Neumann et al., 2010; Piano et al., 2002; Sönnichsen et al., 2005). While successful in identifying genes that contribute to cell division and morphology, the ability of these screens to assess protein function across a breadth of cellular processes falls far short of what has been attained using genetic interaction profiling in fungi. Screen resolution-the ability to discriminate between different biological functions-depends on the information content of the phenotypic assay; therefore, one approach to enhance resolution has been time-lapse imaging (Conrad and Gerlich, 2010). Here, we explored an alternative approach-analyzing the effects of gene knockdowns on complex tissue architecture at a single time point in a multicellular organism. We monitored C. elegans gonad architecture following gene knockdown by scoring 94 phenotypic features. Our results demonstrate that the biological complexity of the gonad translates into phenotypes that enable profiling across a wide spectrum of essential cellular processes at a resolution approaching that of genetic interaction profiling in yeasts.



Figure 5. Varying the CSI Threshold Reveals Functional Modularity in the Gene Network at Different Levels of Resolution

(A) The region of the gene network involved in protein production is shown using three different CSI thresholds to filter displayed connections. The gene clusters apparent at each threshold are circled and gene groups from manually-defined classes are labeled.

(B) The region of the network involved in protein degradation is shown using the very high CSI threshold of 0.99. The connections that remain link components within specific proteasome subcomplexes (illustrated schematically on the right).

See also Figure S4 and Table S5.

The Sterile Gene Collection: A Prominent Gap in the Functional Genomic Analysis of the *C. elegans* Essential Gene Set

Sequencing of the *C. elegans* genome and the discovery of RNAi catalyzed efforts to systematically catalog the functions of its predicted genes (Piano et al., 2006). *C. elegans* has ~900 essential genes required for embryo production and/or events during the first two embryonic divisions. A subset of these genes was

previously characterized by time-lapse imaging of early embryos (Fraser et al., 2000; Gönczy et al., 2000; Piano et al., 2002; Sönnichsen et al., 2005). However, sterility onset following RNAi was a major complication that precluded in-depth characterization of a large number of genes. Our efforts focused on these 554 sterile genes, which we profiled by imaging gonad architecture following RNAi knockdowns and generating a parameterized description of the resulting phenotypes.



Α

С

Figure 6. The CSI Enables Integration of High-Content Data Sets to Generate a Global View of the Essential *C. elegans* Gene Network

(A) Venn diagram showing the high significance connections identified by the embryo-filming (Sönnichsen et al., 2005) and gonad architecture data.

(B) Venn diagram showing the genes that make at least one high significance connection identified by each dataset.

(C) Bird's eye view of the integrated network combining high-significance connections based on the gonad (*blue*) and embryo (red) data. Insets (i-ii) highlight regions where the gonad and embryo data intersect (connections identified by both datasets are purple).

See also Figure S5.

High-Resolution Phenotypic Profiling Based on the Morphology of a Complex Tissue

While the original motivation for our screen was to profile the sterile gene set, a fortuitous byproduct was the realization that a complex tissue, whose structure depends on the dynamic coordination of a broad spectrum of cellular processes, is an ideal substrate for phenotypic profiling. A major finding of our work is that profiles based on complex tissue architecture acquired at a single time point following gene knockdown have greater information depth than profiles derived from timelapse imaging of the first two divisions of the early embryo (a single cell). For example, as shown in Figure 5. the gonad data partitions the set of genes involved in protein

Our analysis generated functional predictions for 106 of the 116 uncharacterized sterile genes. These predictions span a variety of cellular processes including membrane trafficking, glycosylation, fatty acid synthesis, cortical dynamics, chromosome structure/segregation, RNA splicing, mitochondrial function, microtubule cytoskeleton, proteasome function, translation, MAPK signaling, transcription, RNA binding, and the metaphaseanaphase transition. We validated these predictions for 8 genes that play important roles in the microtubule cytoskeleton, MAP kinase signaling, the metaphase-anaphase transition, cortical remodeling/cytokinesis, and membrane trafficking. Although the gonad was used as a "test tube" to functionally profile these genes, subsequent work in early and later stage embryos indicates that the majority of the essential genes in this collection are broadly important. Of the 554 sterile genes, ~60% have predicted homologs in higher organisms, and more than 50% of the 106 uncharacterized genes have a predicted homolog or conserved domain. Thus, in addition to filling a large gap in the analysis of the C. elegans essential gene set, our findings provide a starting point to address the functions of related genes in humans.

production into 15 different classes (chaperones, ribosome components, tRNA synthetases, proteins involved in protein folding, splicing components etc.) whereas none of these distinctions could be made based on embryo filming data. This high level of resolution generally holds throughout the dataset.

(Gonad)

We note that the means of inferring function in a single point morphology assay is distinct from that used in the embryo-filming screen. In the embryo screen, gene function was inferred directly from phenotype. For example, defects in spindle assembly, chromosome segregation, or polarity establishment led to assignment to classes implicated in the corresponding processes (Sönnichsen et al., 2005). By contrast, the link between cataloged phenotypic features in the gonad architecture assay and gene function is not direct—we do not know why knockdown of genes with specific functions lead to a specific spectrum of phenotypic features. Instead, protein function is inferred by comparing the profile of phenotypic features to those of other genes in the dataset, a process conceptually analogous to how function is inferred from genetic interaction profiles in budding yeast. The presence of proteasome components in our dataset offered an opportunity to compare the resolution of our approach to genetic interaction profiling in yeast. The proteasome is a 2.5-MDa macro-molecular machine that contains over 30 different subunits organized into a 20S core complex, composed of α and β rings, and a 19S regulatory particle, containing base and lid sub-complexes (Bochtler et al., 1999). In yeast, distinct genetic interaction profiles were obtained for the subunits of the four proteasome sub-complexes using a sensitive competitive growth assay (Breslow et al., 2008). At a CSI \geq 0.99 (a high-stringency filter), the connections that remained were between subunits of specific proteasome subcomplexes (α ring, β ring, lid and base; Figure 5B). This observation indicates phenotypic profiles based on gonad morphology provide resolution sufficient to group subunits of specific proteasome subcomplexes.

At the core of our dataset are profiles composed of parameters visually scored by experienced investigators rather than acquired through automated image analysis. Given the complexity of the substrate-a 3 dimensional tissue in a living organism that can be variably positioned in the worm-and the large spectrum of knockdown phenotypes that can entirely change the properties of the structure (gonad size, shape, position, compartment and nuclei number and morphology) automated parameter scoring would have been exceedingly difficult. However, the exact properties that make automated analysis difficult-the varied and dramatic effects that gene knockdowns can have on gonad architecture-are also the properties that give the assay its profiling power. Although manual parameter scoring could introduce some bias, this was minimized by performing the analysis blinded to gene identity and the by fact that the individual parameters were scored by investigators who were largely oblivious to the larger patterns that would ultimately emerge.

A Robust Computational Method for Constructing Gene Networks From High-Content Screening Data

By evaluating computational methods for network construction using a validated manual classification of a rich phenotypic dataset, we were able to devise a robust computational method for constructing gene networks from high-content phenotypic profiles. This method overcomes two challenges encountered in network analysis of high-content datasets. The first challenge is that the level of profile correlation that is significant varies in different network regions due to the varying nature of the profiled phenotypes and the extent to which they are captured by the parameter set. The second challenge is that commonly encountered (and thus less informative) phenotypes can generate a "hairball" of connections that obscures meaningful functional links. At the center of the method we developed to overcome these challenges is a simple metric-the CSI, which is a network contextdependent measure that ranks the significance of functional links between genes. Compared to the Pearson's Correlation Coefficient, constructing networks based on the CSI reduces nonspecific connection noise, improves network clarity, and allows connections of a similar functional significance to be simultaneously viewed across the entire network at a single threshold.

Ranking connection significance allows exploration of the gene network at different levels of functional resolution and integration of high-content screening data from different sources.

We demonstrate the usefulness of the CSI by using it to integrate the high-content data from our gonad architecture screen with that from the prior embryo-filming screen, to generate an integrated network that provides a multi-layered view of 818 genes in the *C. elegans* essential gene set. The phenotypic profiles in these datasets are composed of parameters that were visually scored rather than measured through automated image analysis. However, constructing networks based on phenotypic profiles faces the same challenges, regardless of whether parameters are scored through manual or automated means. Consequently, we anticipate that the CSI-based method described here will be of equal utility in analyzing and integrating datasets composed of parameters acquired through automated analysis.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

C. elegans Strains

Strains are listed in Table S7. The strains OD95, UD299, DP38, OD70, MSN142, NL2098, and BS3623 were previously described (Arur et al., 2009; Essex et al., 2009, Fridolfsson and Star, 2010; Kachur et al., 2008; Maduro and Pilgrim, 1995; Shi et al., 2010; Sijen et al., 2001). OD447 was generated by using a PDS-1000/He Biolistic Particle Delivery System (Bio-Rad Laboratories; Praitis et al., 2001) to bombard a construct containing the C08C3.4 genomic locus cloned into the Spel site of pIC26 (Cheeseman et al., 2004) into DP38. OD449 was generated by mating OD447 with OD70.

RNA Production

Templates for dsRNA production were generated by using primers with tails containing the T3 and T7 promoters to amplify to amplify a 500-1000 bp region of the corresponding gene from genomic DNA. When possible, the oligo pairs used by Sönnichsen et al. (2005) were chosen. New oligos were designed for genes not in the Sönnichsen screen and when the Sönnichsen oligo pairs amplified introns or regions smaller or larger than 500-1000 bp (oligos are listed in Table S2). PCR reactions were cleaned (PerfectPrep 96 kit; Eppendorf) and used as templates for T3 and T7 transcription reactions (Megascript T3 and T7 kits, Ambion). Transcription reactions were mixed, cleaned (Mega-Clean96 kit, Ambion), and annealed by adding 3x Soaking buffer (32.7 mM Na2HPO4, 16.5 mM KH2PO4, 6.3 mM NaCl, 14.1 mM NH4Cl) to a final concentration of 1X and incubating the reactions at 68°C for 10 min followed by 37°C for 30 min.

RNA Interference

Larval (L4 stage) worms from the strain OD95 were rinsed with M9 and soaked in 5 μ l of dsRNA (supplemented with 0.5 μ l of a 50/50 mixture of 63 mM spermidine and 1.1% gelatin) for 24 hr at 20°C inside a humid chamber. Worms were transferred to NGM plates seeded with OP50 *E. coli* and allowed to recover at 20°C for 48 hr prior to imaging.

Live Imaging

Six worms were anesthetized in a fresh mixture of 1 mg/ml Tricane (ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt) and 0.1 mg/ml of tetramisole hydrochloride (TMHC) dissolved in M9 for 15-30 min before transferring them to an agarose pad under a coverslip for imaging. Gonads were imaged by collecting an 80 × 0.5 μ m z-series including DIC, GFP and RFP images for every z-plane. Microscopy was performed with a spinning disk confocal mounted on a Nikon TE2000-E inverted microscope equipped with a 60x 1.4 NA PlanApochromat lens, a krypton-argon 2.5 W water-cooled laser (Spectra-Physics, Mountain View, CA) and a Hamamatsu Orca ER CCD camera. Acquisition parameters, shutters, and focus were controlled by MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, Downington, PA).

Sterility Assessment

At the time when the other worms in the cohort were an esthetized and imaged, 3 worms were moved to a fresh plate. After 24 hr at 20°C, the number of embryos and L1 progeny on the plate was counted. A gene was "sterile" if no embryos or L1 larvae were observed, "partially sterile" if < 50 embryos/L1 worms were present, and "wild-type" if > 50 embryos/L1 worms were present. After an additional 24 hr, we assessed whether the knockdown resulted in embryonic lethality. If > 50 L1-L2 larvae were present, they were considered "viable," if < 50 L1-L2 larvae were present and there were > 5 dead embryos, it was considered "embryonic lethal."

Antibody Production

Antibodies against K10D2.4 were generated by using the oligos cgcgcgggatccgctttgatgtacccattcca and gcgcgcgaattctcaaggatttgcaggcatattt to amplify a region encoding amino acids 2-81 from a cDNA library. The product was digested with BamHI/EcoRI and cloned into pGEX6P-1 digested with the same enzymes (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The purified GST fusion protein was outsourced for injection into rabbits (Covance). Antibodies were affinity purified by binding to columns of the same antigen after removal of the GST tag as described previously (Desai et al., 2003).

Immunoprecipitation and Mass Spectrometry

K10D2.4 was immunoprecipiated from extracts prepared from frozen worm pellets (Desai et al., 2003) and mass spectrometry was performed (Cheeseman et al., 2004) as described, using the most recent version of the predicted *C. elegans* proteins (Wormprep111).

Hypodermal Cell Experiments, MAP Kinase Experiments, and Membrane Trafficking Assay

For Figure 2C, embryos produced by adult hermaphrodites from the strain UD299 were imaged as described (Fridolfson and Starr, 2010), 20 hr after injection of dsRNA against T09E8.1 into their gonad. Nuclear migration was scored in L1 larvae using DIC optics as described (Starr et al., 2001). The attenuated RNAi, gonad dissection, immunofluorescence, and gonad analysis in Figure 2E and F (Arur et al., 2009) and the GFP-SNB-1 trafficking assay (Shi et al., 2010) were performed as described.

Phenobank and N-Browse

Movies can be accessed via the Phenobank website (http://worm.mpi-cbg.de/ phenobank_gonad) or through RNAiDB (http://www.rnai.org). Written instructions and a demo video explaining how to search Phenobank can be found at http://worm.mpi-cbg.de/phenobank_gonad/project. Written instructions and a demo video describing how to visualize the gene network in N-Browse2 can be found at http://worm.mpi-cbg.de/phenobank_gonad/nbrowse. As described in the instructions, gonad and embryo data can be viewed in N-Browse2 by entering the URL http://gnetbrowse.org/gonad.jnlp into your browser and providing the password "phenotypes" at the prompt. Additional information about N-Browse, including system requirements and tutorials, can be found at http://www.gnetbrowse.org.

Automated clustering using MINE

MINE (Module Identification in Networks) is a graph clustering algorithm that shows comparable or better performance compared with similar algorithms in identifying functional modules in dense interaction networks (K. Rhrissorrakrai and K.C. Gunsalus, *in press*). MINE, which is similar to MCODE (Bader and Hogue, 2003) but uses a modified weighting scheme and takes into account network modularity, is available as a Cytoscape plug-in from http://www. cytoscape.org or as a Perl package (upon request from the authors).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, five figures, and seven tables and can be found with this article online at doi:10. 1016/j.cell.2011.03.037.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the American Cancer Society (PF-06-254-01-CCG, to RG), the Helen Hay Whitney Foundation (to A.A.), and the NIH

(R01 GM074207 to K.O., R01 HD046236 to K.C.G. and FP; R01 GM085503 to K.C.G.; R01 GM085150 to TS; R01 GM088151 to A.A.; R01 GM073874 to D.A.S.) and by funding from the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research to KO and AD. We thank Kahn Rhrissorrakrai for the MINE algorithm, Michael Volkmer for movie formatting, and the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center, funded by the NIH National Center for Research Resources, for strains. *Author Contributions:* Conceived and designed the experiments: KO, AD, RG, AA. Performed the experiments: RG, AA, SN, SA, TS, SW, HF, DS, JM. Scored the data: AA, RG, KO. Manually partitioned the genes into classes: RG, KO. Reworked Phenobank to include the gonad morphology data: SS, RG, KO, AH. Developed N-Browse: HK, MS, FP, KG. Developed CSI: KO, RG, HK, MS, FP, KG. Conceptualized and performed network analysis: HK, FP, KG. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: KO, RG, AA, SN, KL, SA, TS, AD, HK, MS, KG, FP, HF, DS.

Received: June 5, 2010 Revised: December 21, 2010 Accepted: March 24, 2011 Published: April 28, 2011

REFERENCES

Arur, S., Ohmachi, M., Nayak, S., Hayes, M., Miranda, A., Hay, A., Golden, A., and Schedl, T. (2009). Multiple ERK substrates execute single biological processes in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ-line development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *106*, 4776–4781.

Bader, G.D., and Hogue, C.W. (2003). An automated method for finding molecular complexes in large protein interaction networks. BMC Bioinformatics 4, 2.

Bakal, C., Aach, J., Church, G., and Perrimon, N. (2007). Quantitative morphological signatures define local signaling networks regulating cell morphology. Science *316*, 1753–1756.

Bochtler, M., Ditzel, L., Groll, M., Hartmann, C., and Huber, R. (1999). The proteasome. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 28, 295–317.

Boone, C., Bussey, H., and Andrews, B.J. (2007). Exploring genetic interactions and networks with yeast. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8, 437–449.

Boxem, M., Maliga, Z., Klitgord, N., Li, N., Lemmens, I., Mana, M., de Lichtervelde, L., Mul, J.D., van de Peut, D., Devos, M., et al. (2008). A protein domainbased interactome network for *C. elegans* early embryogenesis. Cell *134*, 534–545.

Breslow, D.K., Cameron, D.M., Collins, S.R., Schuldiner, M., Stewart-Ornstein, J., Newman, H.W., Braun, S., Madhani, H.D., Krogan, N.J., and Weissman, J.S. (2008). A comprehensive strategy enabling high-resolution functional analysis of the yeast genome. Nat. Methods *5*, 711–718.

Cheeseman, I.M., Niessen, S., Anderson, S., Hyndman, F., Yates, J.R., 3rd, Oegema, K., and Desai, A. (2004). A conserved protein network controls assembly of the outer kinetochore and its ability to sustain tension. Genes Dev. *18*, *2255–2268*.

Collins, S.R., Miller, K.M., Maas, N.L., Roguev, A., Fillingham, J., Chu, C.S., Schuldiner, M., Gebbia, M., Recht, J., Shales, M., et al. (2007). Functional dissection of protein complexes involved in yeast chromosome biology using a genetic interaction map. Nature *446*, 806–810.

Collins, S.R., Weissman, J.S., and Krogan, N.J. (2009). From information to knowledge: new technologies for defining gene function. Nat. Methods 6, 721–723.

Conrad, C., and Gerlich, D.W. (2010). Automated microscopy for high-content RNAi screening. J. Cell Biol. *188*, 453–461.

Costanzo, M., Baryshnikova, A., Bellay, J., Kim, Y., Spear, E.D., Sevier, C.S., Ding, H., Koh, J.L., Toufighi, K., Mostafavi, S., et al. (2010). The genetic land-scape of a cell. Science *327*, 425–431.

Desai, A., Rybina, S., Muller-Reichert, T., Shevchenko, A., Hyman, A., and Oegema, K. (2003). KNL-1 directs assembly of the microtubule-binding interface of the kinetochore in *C. elegans*. Genes Dev. *17*, 2421–2435.

Echard, A., Hickson, G.R., Foley, E., and O'Farrell, P.H. (2004). Terminal cytokinesis events uncovered after an RNAi screen. Curr. Biol. *14*, 1685–1693. Eggert, U.S., Kiger, A.A., Richter, C., Perlman, Z.E., Perrimon, N., Mitchison, T.J., and Field, C.M. (2004). Parallel chemical genetic and genome-wide RNAi screens identify cytokinesis inhibitors and targets. PLoS Biol. *2*, e379.

Essex, A., Dammermann, A., Lewellyn, L., Oegema, K., and Desai, A. (2009). Systematic analysis in *Caenorhabditis elegans* reveals that the spindle checkpoint is composed of two largely independent branches. Mol. Biol. Cell *20*, 1252–1267.

Fraser, A.G., Kamath, R.S., Zipperlen, P., Martinez-Campos, M., Sohrmann, M., and Ahringer, J. (2000). Functional genomic analysis of *C. elegans* chromosome I by systematic RNA interference. Nature *408*, 325–330.

Gönczy, P., Echeverri, C., Oegema, K., Coulson, A., Jones, S.J., Copley, R.R., Duperon, J., Oegema, J., Brehm, M., Cassin, E., et al. (2000). Functional genomic analysis of cell division in *C. elegans* using RNAi of genes on chromosome III. Nature *408*, 331–336.

Fridolfsson, H.N., and Starr, D.A. (2010). Kinesin-1 and dynein at the nuclear envelope mediate the bidirectional migrations of nuclei. J. Cell Biol. *191*, 115–128.

Goshima, G., Wollman, R., Goodwin, S.S., Zhang, N., Scholey, J.M., Vale, R.D., and Stuurman, N. (2007). Genes required for mitotic spindle assembly in *Drosophila* S2 cells. Science *316*, 417–421.

Gunsalus, K.C. (2008). A Caenorhabditis elegans genetic-interaction map wiggles into view. J. Biol. 7, 8.

Gunsalus, K.C., Ge, H., Schetter, A.J., Goldberg, D.S., Han, J.D., Hao, T., Berriz, G.F., Bertin, N., Huang, J., Chuang, L.S., et al. (2005). Predictive models of molecular machines involved in *Caenorhabditis elegans* early embryogenesis. Nature *436*, 861–865.

Harris, T.W., Antoshechkin, I., Bieri, T., Blasiar, D., Chan, J., Chen, W.J., De La Cruz, N., Davis, P., Duesbury, M., Fang, R., et al. (2010). WormBase: a comprehensive resource for nematode research. Nucleic Acids Res. *38*, D463–D467.

Hubner, N.C., Bird, A.W., Cox, J., Splettstoesser, B., Bandilla, P., Poser, I., Hyman, A., and Mann, M. (2010). Quantitative proteomics combined with BAC TransgeneOmics reveals in vivo protein interactions. J. Cell Biol. *189*, 739–754.

Hutchins, J.R., Toyoda, Y., Hegemann, B., Poser, I., Heriche, J.K., Sykora, M.M., Augsburg, M., Hudecz, O., Buschhorn, B.A., Bulkescher, J., et al. (2010). Systematic Analysis of Human Protein Complexes Identifies Chromosome Segregation Proteins. Science *328*, 593–599.

Kachur, T.M., Audhya, A., and Pilgrim, D.B. (2008). UNC-45 is required for NMY-2 contractile function in early embryonic polarity establishment and germline cellularization in *C. elegans*. Dev. Biol. *314*, 287–299.

Kao, H.L., and Gunsalus, K.C. (2008). Browsing multidimensional molecular networks with the generic network browser (N-Browse). Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics, Chapter 9:Unit 9.11.

Kimble, J., and Crittenden, S.L. Germline proliferation and its control (August 15, 2005), WormBook, ed. The *C. elegans* Research Community, WormBook, doi/10.1895/wormbook.113.1, http://www.wormbook.org.

Kops, G.J., Voet, M.V., Manak, M.S., van Osch, M.H., Naini, S.M., Brear, A., McLeod, I.X., Hentschel, D.M., Yates, J.R., 3rd, van den Heuvel, S., et al. (2010). APC16 is a conserved subunit of the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome. J. Cell Sci. *123*, 1623–1633.

Lee, M.H., Ohmachi, M., Arur, S., Nayak, S., Francis, R., Church, D., Lambie, E., and Schedl, T. (2007). Multiple functions and dynamic activation of MPK-1 extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline development. Genetics 177, 2039–2062.

Li, S., Armstrong, C.M., Bertin, N., Ge, H., Milstein, S., Boxem, M., Vidalain, P.O., Han, J.D., Chesneau, A., Hao, T., et al. (2004). A map of the interactome network of the metazoan *C. elegans*. Science *303*, 540–543.

Liu, T., Sims, D., and Baum, B. (2009). Parallel RNAi screens across different cell lines identify generic and cell type-specific regulators of actin organization and cell morphology. Genome Biol. *10*, R26.

Maduro, M., and Pilgrim, D. (1995). Identification and cloning of *unc-119*, a gene expressed in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* nervous system. Genetics *141*, 977–988.

Mathey-Prevot, B., and Perrimon, N. (2006). *Drosophila* genome-wide RNAi screens: are they delivering the promise? Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. *71*, 141–148.

Neumann, B., Walter, T., Heriche, J.K., Bulkescher, J., Erfle, H., Conrad, C., Rogers, P., Poser, I., Held, M., Liebel, U., et al. (2010). Phenotypic profiling of the human genome by time-lapse microscopy reveals cell division genes. Nature *464*, 721–727.

Piano, F., Gunsalus, K.C., Hill, D.E., and Vidal, M. (2006). *C. elegans* network biology: a beginning (August 21, 2006), WormBook, ed. The C. elegans Research Community, WormBook, doi/10.1895/wormbook.1.118.1, http://www.wormbook.org.

Piano, F., Schetter, A.J., Mangone, M., Stein, L., and Kemphues, K.J. (2000). RNAi analysis of genes expressed in the ovary of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Curr. Biol. *10*, 1619–1622.

Piano, F., Schetter, A.J., Morton, D.G., Gunsalus, K.C., Reinke, V., Kim, S.K., and Kemphues, K.J. (2002). Gene clustering based on RNAi phenotypes of ovary-enriched genes in *C. elegans*. Curr. Biol. *12*, 1959–1964.

Praitis, V., Casey, E., Collar, D., and Austin, J. (2001). Creation of low-copy integrated transgenic lines in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 157, 1217–1226.

Schuldiner, M., Collins, S.R., Thompson, N.J., Denic, V., Bhamidipati, A., Punna, T., Ihmels, J., Andrews, B., Boone, C., Greenblatt, J.F., et al. (2005). Exploration of the function and organization of the yeast early secretory pathway through an epistatic miniarray profile. Cell *123*, 507–519.

Shi, A., Chen, C.C., Banerjee, R., Glodowski, D., Audhya, A., Rongo, C., and Grant, B.D. (2010). EHBP-1 functions with RAB-10 during endocytic recycling in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mol. Biol. Cell *21*, 2930–2943.

Sijen, T., Fleenor, J., Simmer, F., Thijssen, K.L., Parrish, S., Timmons, L., Plasterk, R.H., and Fire, A. (2001). On the role of RNA amplification in dsRNA-triggered gene silencing. Cell *107*, 465–476.

Simonis, N., Rual, J.F., Carvunis, A.R., Tasan, M., Lemmens, I., Hirozane-Kishikawa, T., Hao, T., Sahalie, J.M., Venkatesan, K., Gebreab, F., et al. (2009). Empirically controlled mapping of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* protein-protein interactome network. Nat. Methods *6*, 47–54.

Sönnichsen, B., Koski, L.B., Walsh, A., Marschall, P., Neumann, B., Brehm, M., Alleaume, A.M., Artelt, J., Bettencourt, P., Cassin, E., et al. (2005). Full-genome RNAi profiling of early embryogenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature 434, 462–469.

Starr, D.A., Hermann, G.J., Malone, C.J., Fixsen, W., Priess, J.R., Horvitz, H.R., and Han, M. (2001). *unc-83* encodes a novel component of the nuclear envelope and is essential for proper nuclear migration. Development *128*, 5039–5050.

Tatusov, R.L., Fedorova, N.D., Jackson, J.D., Jacobs, A.R., Kiryutin, B., Koonin, E.V., Krylov, D.M., Mazumder, R., Mekhedov, S.L., Nikolskaya, A.N., et al. (2003). The COG database: an updated version includes eukaryotes. BMC Bioinformatics *4*, 41.

Wilmes, G.M., Bergkessel, M., Bandyopadhyay, S., Shales, M., Braberg, H., Cagney, G., Collins, S.R., Whitworth, G.B., Kress, T.L., Weissman, J.S., et al. (2008). A genetic interaction map of RNA-processing factors reveals links between Sem1/Dss1-containing complexes and mRNA export and splicing. Mol. Cell *32*, 735–746.

Zhou, K., Rolls, M.M., Hall, D.H., Malone, C.J., and Hanna-Rose, W. (2009). A ZYG-12-dynein interaction at the nuclear envelope defines cytoskeletal architecture in the *C. elegans* gonad. J. Cell Biol. *186*, 229–241.

Zipperlen, P., Fraser, A.G., Kamath, R.S., Martinez-Campos, M., and Ahringer, J. (2001). Roles for 147 embryonic lethal genes on *C.elegans* chromosome I identified by RNA interference and video microscopy. EMBO J. *20*, 3984–3992.